

Appl. No. 10/627,830
Amdt. dated September 1, 2004
Reply to Office action of June 15, 2004


REMARKS

In response to the Office Action mailed June 15, 2004, in the above-identified application Applicant's assignee hereby provides two Terminal Disclaimers and requests that they be entered. In the Office Action, the Examiner allowed claims 30-33 and rejected, or objected to the form of the remaining claims. Claims 1, 3-12, 21, 24, and 27-29, were rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting, over claims 38-48 and 51-54" of U.S. Patent No. 6,622,327. Claims 34-55 were also rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting, over claims 1-11, 16-18, 22-27, 29, and 33-35 of U.S. Patent No. 6,622,328.

Allowance of claims 30-33 and allowability of claims 2, 13-20, 22, 23, 25, and 26 is noted, but it is considered unnecessary to amend any of those claims to place them into independent form in light of the Terminal Disclaimer filed herewith, which should obviate the rejections of the claims from which they depend.

In view of the foregoing, the Examiner is requested to reexamine the application, to allow all of claims 1-55, and to pass the application on promptly to issue.

Respectfully submitted,



Donald B. Haslett
Registration No. 28,855
Tel No.: (503) 227-5631